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Mary Hamilton papers

- Family and friends
  - Mary Hamilton (1756-1816), courtier and diarist
  - old aristocratic family of military/political background
  - 1777-1782, governess to George III’s daughters
  - 1783-1785, London, friends with the Bluestocking circle
  - 1785, married John Dickenson; daughter Louisa b.1787

Purpose of transliterations

- For students
  - Engage with genuine texts some 200 years old
  - Solve puzzles in letters from knowledge of history of English language, plus reference works, etc.
  - Learn language history from examples found in letters
- For others (historians, general public, …)
  - Convenient rendition of historical documents which are often hard to read, sometimes hard to understand
  - Reliable text in standard format

Working assumptions of project

- For others
  - Full text as file in compliant TEI/XML form
  - Transliteration on-screen to help reader negotiate their way through the original
- For students
  - Minimise purely technical demands
  - Use modest subset of TEI guidelines (4 sides A4) for mark-up; our list modified if new problems encountered
    - Leave complex XML codes (e.g. for hyphenation) and TEI header to be added by research assistant

Project ‘Image to Text’

- Mainly concerned with Mary Hamilton Papers
- Website http://man.ac.uk/wm3Ws2
- SALC website, but may one day appear on Rylands site
- Students edit one or two letters each in original spelling and layout, and code them in XML using TEI.
- Research assistant processes file, then all(!) three project members check it.
  - So far 161 letters = 70,722 text words

HAM/1/1/2/2, HAM/1/10/1/24

- Note treatment of
  - page layout, lineation and underline, superscript, etc.
  - words broken at end of line
  - abbreviations
  - corrections, additions, deletions, gaps
  - long-s
  - notes
- Website sets transliteration side by side with original
  - Letter from Queen Charlotte to Mary Hamilton
  - Letter from Anna Maria Clarke to John Dickenson
Physical vs. logical layout

- Desire for side-by-side display suggests
- Preserve page- and column-breaks
- Preserve line-breaks
- Keep all blocks of text together that appear on same page of original
- But then, what to do with
  - address panel written in middle of page?
  - insertions squeezed into any available space?
  - blocks of text written at 90° or upside-down or ‘crossed’?

Layout problems

- Each XML line begins with `<lb/>` (= linebreak) tag
- Must other tags be opened and closed within line?
- Our pragmatic decision: No
  - Would cause wild proliferation of tags
  - Impossible e.g. for a name tag where forename and surname or title and name separated across break
- What about words broken at end of line?
  - XML contains both original layout (including 0, 1 or 2 hyphens!) and reconstructed whole word
  - Broken word displayed in blue, mouseover recombines

Layout problems

- Should L-to-R positioning be preserved?
- Our pragmatic choice:
  - Ignore indent for start of paragraph
  - But if line starts more than halfway across page or column, mark as align-right
- Thus just two options (and no use of tabs or spacing)
  - Conceals paragraph breaks unless writer left noticeable vertical gap – but para breaks often uncertain anyway
  - Preserves familiar position of date and place of writing at top right, and closing salutation at bottom right

Layout problems

- Address is on page of letter (no envelopes then); when unfolded, address appears in centre of page.
- Should address interrupt XML text or not?
  - Eventual pragmatic decision: only if clean break in letter content with no text on both sides of address
  - Always a compromise between
    - rearranging all blocks of text on a single page in logical order – letter content, postscripts, address, insertions at side, etc. – and
    - guiding reader to relevant parts in handwritten original

Representation of text

- Show long-s? If so, how?
- Yes, using Unicode long-s character ſ:
  - miſs, paſsion, etc.
- Good for TEI compliance ✅
- Screen character lacks descender (except when italicised miʃs, paʃsion!) and has crossbar
- ‘Latin small letter esh’ looks much better:
  - miʃs, paʃsion
- but non-compliant! ×

Representation of text

- Correct or modernise spelling?
- Our choice: keep original spelling throughout
  - If spelling differs from present-day standard, leave unmarked if current at the time (as shown in OED)
  - Otherwise mark obvious slips or idiosyncrasies for correction – with on-screen pop-up
- But eventual linguistic tagging and parsing may require a different normalisation
Plain text version

- For users such as linguists who want content only without reference to handwritten original
- Text rearranged to maximise continuity
- Corrections, unclear text, recombined broken words silently included
- No long-s or macrons, but otherwise original spelling
- Barely any mark-up: only filename, change of hand, discontinuity, gap (these tags within carets, not XML)
- Users advised to check examples against XML text

TEI/XML advantages

- International standard, independent of O.S.
- Allows pleasing on-screen display using only desired parts of text and mark-up. We choose blue colour to indicate where mouseover would produce a pop-up.
- Metadata from file can be presented elegantly.
  - display via server-side XSLT, CSS and javascript.
- Mark-up allows sophisticated search in XML files or by collation of fields in a database, e.g. of
  - names, places, dates, foreign words, corrections, etc.

TEI/XML disadvantages

- Almost no end to what can be tagged
  - We decided to tag all names, places and dates
  - We mark some structural features important in letters, e.g. <dateline>, <opener>, <salute>, <closer>
  - Inevitable arbitrariness at times
- Official TEI guidelines long and daunting
- Some inconsistencies in the documentation
- Tiny, inconspicuous errors can block processing of file
  - We use Notepad++ for editing and oXygen to review for errors

Residual questions (and 1 answer)

- Whether to code with screen display or archival correctness as prime concern
  - For screen display, where is best compromise between helpfulness and fussiness
  - Whether to assume ‘screen’ = large monitor, tablet or smartphone
- How many users who request archive are actually using TEI/XML version, and how
  - We were never in doubt that TEI/XML was the right choice for master-copy of text.
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URLs

- This presentation available from my downloads page:
  http://tinyurl.com/UMan-DD
- Image to Text project website:
  http://man.ac.uk/wm3Ws2
- Comments welcome! Thank you.